



Image Based Methods for Navigation of Intelligent Vehicles

Ashwani Kumar Aggarwal¹

Assistant Professor, Department of EIE, SLIET Longowal, Punjab, India¹

ABSTRACT: Intelligent vehicles are being used in transportation and robotics. Many applications of robotics and for transportation, self-position of vehicle needs to be determined. Among many methods for determining self-position, fusion of many methods offers great advantages as information obtained from one methodology cannot be obtained from others. In this paper, an attempt has been made to estimate self-position of intelligent vehicles in a cluttered environment. The methods are compared and fusion of the methods is studied to determine self-position of intelligent vehicles accurately.

KEYWORDS: Intelligent Vehicles, image matching, triangulation, GPS, self-position.

I. INTRODUCTION

Intelligent vehicles are becoming more and more popular these days because of their driver assistance services. Localization of intelligent vehicles is of utmost priority because for effective and efficient transportation of such vehicles, their self-position needs to be known. Apart from localization of intelligent vehicles, service robots need to know their self-position before such robots perform their next task. In case of intelligent vehicles, to stay in a specific lane, the vehicle must know its current position. The position must be known in centimetre accuracy to follow road lane. GPS alone is not sufficient to meet the requirements of such a precise localization. Many other techniques are used along with GPS for the purpose viz. odometry, IMU. The various methods used for localization have been discussed in the following sections of this paper along with their advantages and drawbacks.

II. LOCALIZATION OF INTELLIGENT VEHICLES

GPS is used for localization of intelligent vehicles. GPS consists of 24 satellites which send signals to estimate position. One satellite needs to be received for each dimension of the user's position that needs to be calculated. This suggests three satellites are necessary for position estimate for general user (for the x, y, and z dimensions of the receiver's position) however, the user rarely knows the exact time which they are receiving at, hence four satellite pseudo-ranges are required to calculate these four unknowns. The satellite data is monitored and is controlled by the GPS ground segment - stations positioned globally to ensure the correct operation of the system. The user segment is the GPS user and the GPS reception equipment. These have advanced considerably in recent years to allow faster and more accurate processing of received data. They typically contain pre-amplification, an analogue to digital converter and DSP processors etc. [3].

Outdoor localization is a task which experiences many problems. Many sensors like laser range finders which play an important role in indoor localization are not suitable for outdoor localization because of the cluttered and unstructured environment. Global positioning system (GPS) discussed in Section can give valuable position information, but often the GPS satellites are occluded by buildings or trees. Because of these problems, vision has become the most widely used technique for outdoor localization. A serious problem with vision based systems is the illumination change because the illumination in outdoor environments is highly dependent on the weather conditions and on the time. In [11], the authors address the problem of long term mobile robot localization in large urban environments where the environment changes dynamically. In their work, the authors use vision system to supplement GPS and odometry [17] and provide accurate localization. The computations involved in vision based localization can be divided into the following four steps [14]:

- Environment sensing: For vision based navigation, this means acquiring and digitizing camera images.



International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 10, October 2015

- Detect landmarks: Usually this means extracting edges, smoothing, filtering, and segmenting regions on the basis of differences in grey levels, color, depth or motion.
- Landmark Identification: In this step, the system tries to identify the observed landmarks by searching in the database for possible matches according to some measurement criterion.
- Calculate position: Once a match (or a set of matches) is obtained, the system needs to calculate its position as a function of the observed landmarks and their positions in the database.

In order for a vehicle to localize itself and to navigate autonomously in an environment, a model of that environment is needed which associates camera positions and observations. Provided that such a model (called map) has been built, a localization task can be carried out by means of ordinary statistical operations viz. regression or interpolation. Among the several sensor devices used for localization, vision provides the richest source of information, traditionally being restricted to the use of standard CCD cameras. Lately, omnidirectional vision systems are becoming increasingly popular in the mobile robots field for tasks like environment modelling, while research is active in understanding the properties of such sensors on a theoretical level. The main advantage of an omnidirectional camera compared to a traditional one is its large field of view which for localization application, allows many landmarks to be simultaneously present in the scene leading to more accurate localization. [13] The approach used in [10] consists of using integral invariant features computed on omnidirectional images and showing their interest in context of mobile robot localization. In their work the complex transformations induced by the geometry of the sensor are taken into account and integrate the virtual moments of the robot to evaluate invariant distributive features. After introducing the theoretical foundations of the integral invariant features construction, the authors presented their approach dealing simultaneously with models of omnidirectional sensor and of the effects of the robot movements on the transformed images. The experimental results presented show an improvement of the invariance of these features compared to the classical histograms, and so of the robot qualitative localization.

The integral method used to build invariant has the advantage of being more direct than differential or geometrical methods. The integral method requires neither image segmentation as in geometrical methods nor derivative computation as in differential methods. The starting point of the invariant building is the Harr integral. It consists of a course through the space of the transformation group parameters. It is typically expressed as

$$I_{Harr} = \frac{1}{|G|} \int_G f \cdot g(x) dg \quad \text{with} \quad |G| = \int G dg \quad (1)$$

Where G is the transformation group, and $g(x)$ the action of g , an element of G , on vector x . This invariant has been used in image query in case of Euclidean motion and for mobile robot localization although the Harr integral was not explicitly used. The authors interest concerns transformations of the image obtained with an omnidirectional camera. The type of transformations is due to the robot movements and to the projection process. In their work, the study of the robot movements is limited to translations on the floor. Nevertheless, other transformations such as rotations or illumination changes could have been considered but have not been presented in their paper. Translations transform 3D point x (expressed in robot reference frame) into point $x + t$ with $t = (t_1, t_2, 0)$ a translation in the (Ox, Oy) plane. The camera is endowed with an omnidirectional sensor, generating transformations that can be divided into a projection on its parabolic mirror and an orthopaedic projection on to the image plane. The projection of point x on the mirror is modelled by the following equation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_m \\ y_m \\ z_m \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} x_m \\ y_m \\ z_m \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where x_m defines the corresponding point to x on the mirror surface. In [8], authors propose an omnidirectional camera based localization system that does not involve the use of historical position estimates. A modified hue profile is generated for each of the incoming omnidirectional images. The extracted hue regions are matched with that of the reference image to find corresponding region boundaries. As the reference image, exact position of the reference point and the map of the workspace are available, the current position of the robot can be determined by triangulation. The method was tested by placing the camera setup at a number of different random positions in a 11.0m x 8.5m room. The average localization error was 0.45m. No mismatch of features between the reference and incoming image was found.



International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 10, October 2015

In [9], authors make use of omnidirectional camera for map building and localization of a robot. The image sequences of the omnidirectional camera are transformed into virtual top-view ones and melted into the global dynamic map. After learning the environment from training images, a current image is compared to the training set by appearance based matching. Appropriate classification strategies yield an estimate of the robot's current position.

III. LOCALIZATION BASED ON MAP OF THE ENVIRONMENT

In many urban navigation applications, high accuracy localization of moving vehicles is achieved using maps of urban environments. One such technique has been proposed by Jesse Levinson et al [1]. This approach integrates GPS, IMU, wheel odometry and LIDAR data acquired by an instrumented vehicle to generate high resolution environment maps. The idea of their work is to augment inertial navigation by learning a detailed map of the environment, and then to use a vehicle's LIDAR sensor to localize itself relative to this map. The maps are 2-D overhead views of the road surface, taken in the infrared spectrum. Such maps capture a multitude of textures in the environment that may be useful for localization such as lane markings, tire marks, pavement and vegetation near the road (e.g. grass). The maps are acquired by a vehicle equipped with a state-of-the-art inertial navigation system (with GPS) and multiple SICK laser range finders.

IV. LOCALIZATION BASED ON MAPPING OF ROAD

The vehicle transitions through a sequence of poses. In urban mapping, poses are five dimensional vectors, comprising the x – y coordinates of the vehicle, along with its heading direction (yaw), roll and pitch angle of the vehicle (the elevation z is irrelevant for this problem). Let $x(t)$ denote the pose at time t. Poses are linked together through relative odometry data, acquired from the vehicle's inertial guidance system.

$$x_t = g(u_t, x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t) \quad (3)$$

Here g is the non-linear kinematic function which accepts as input a pose x_{t-1} and a motion vector $u(t)$, and outputs a projected new pose $x(t)$. The variable ϵ_t is a Gaussian noise variable with zero mean and covariance R_t . In log-likelihood form, each motion step induces a non-linear quadratic constraint of the form.

$$(x_t - g(u_t, x_{t-1}))^T = R_t^{-1}(x_t - g(u_t, x_{t-1}))^T \quad (4)$$

These constraints can be thought of as edges in a sparse Markov graph. For any pose $x(t)$ laser angle relative to the vehicle coordinate frame α , the expected infrared reflectivity can easily be calculated. Let $h_i(m, x_t)$ be this function, which calculates the expected laser reflectivity for a given map m , a robot pose $x(t)$ and a laser angle α . The observation process is modelled as follows

$$z_t^i = h_i(m, x_t) + \delta_t^i \quad (5)$$

Here δ_t^i is a Gaussian noise variable with mean zero and noise covariance Q_t . In log-likelihood form, this provides a new set of constraints, which are of the form.

$$(z_t^i - h_i(m, x_t))^T = Q_t^{-1}(z_t^i - h_i(m, x_t))^T \quad (6)$$

The unknowns in this function are the poses $x(t)$ and the map m .

V. LOCALIZATION BASED ON 3D ENVIRONMENT

The location estimation of a vehicle with respect to a 3D world model finds applications which include automatic navigation, automatic integration of new information into a modelling system, the automatic generation of model to image overlays. All of these will become increasingly important as modelling systems, such as Google Earth, progress towards more accurate 3D representations [23]. The 3D models are constructed from automatically



International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 10, October 2015

aligned 3D scans acquired using a Leica HDS 3000 LIDAR scanner, which also produces the model image set $\{\gamma M\}$, acquired using a calibrated camera [2]. Model images are pre-processed to extract SIFT keypoints [5], filtering the results spatially to reduce the keypoint set. Keypoint locations are back-projected onto the model surfaces. Each of these 'model keypoint' has an associated 3D location, scale and 3D surface normal. In addition a plane π is fit to the LIDAR points in a reasonably large surface area ($80s \times 80s$, where s is the LIDAR sample spacing on the surface) surrounding the keypoint using a M-estimator.

VI. LOCALIZATION BASED ON STORED MEMORY

In [12] and [19], the authors propose a self-localization method that extracts information which is identical for the position of a sensor and invariant against the rotation of the sensor by generating an autocorrelation image from an observed image. The location of the sensor is estimated by evaluating the similarity among the autocorrelation image of the observed image and stored autocorrelated images. The similarity of autocorrelated images is evaluated in low dimensional eigenspaces generated with stored autocorrelated images. They conducted experiments with real images and examined the performance of their method.

VII. LOCALIZATION BASED ON NATURAL LANDMARKS

Natural landmarks are features extracted from the image sequences without any changes made to the environmental model. The use of natural landmarks in localization is limited because of appreciable errors encountered due to change in illumination, camera occlusion and shadows etc.

Artificial landmark localization approach makes use of landmarks which are inserted purposely in the environmental model and these landmarks could be some visual patterns of different shapes and sizes. Artificial landmarks overcome the problem of illumination changes which occurs in natural landmark methods. The disadvantage of using artificial landmarks is that the environment has to be engineered, what in turn limits the flexibility and adaptability to different operational sites. However, this problem can be avoided by using simple, cheap and unobtrusive landmarks, which can be easily attached to walls of buildings in most of the environments. In [24], a mobile robot localization system which uses passive visual landmarks to enhance the recognition capabilities of the on-board camera has been discussed and the focus is on the evaluation of the spatial localization uncertainty with theoretical analysis and presentation of experimental results.

VIII. LOCALIZATION BASED ON APPEARANCE BASED METHOD

In this approach, the appearance of an object is used for comparing images. Here, an appearance is a view of an object from a certain position and direction. This approach consists of two steps:

- (1) Storing images and corresponding positions in a database.
- (2) Finding an image having a similar appearance to the input image from the database and obtaining its corresponding position.

Compared to landmark based approach, the appearance based approach does not require geometrical object position. However these methods cannot estimate a vehicle's lateral position since they assume that the trajectory of the self-positions is the same as the trajectory when the database was constructed. In [4], authors use local feature descriptors and its experimental evaluation in a large, dynamic, populated environment where the time interval between the collected set is up to two months. The overview of the proposed method has been shown in the following diagram. The input is the current omni-image and the current odometry reading. The database consists of poses (x, y, θ) of the database images together with the extracted features. Output is the current estimate of the robot position based on the weight and distribution of particles. In [6], the authors addressed the issues of outdoor appearance based topological localization for a mobile robot over different lighting conditions using omnidirectional vision. Their databases, each consisting of large number of omnidirectional images, have been acquired over different day times in dynamic outdoor environments. Two different types of feature extractor algorithms, SIFT and the more recent SURF [20, 21], have been used to compare the images, and the two different approaches, WTA and MCL [22] have been used to evaluate performances. Given the challenges of highly dynamic and large environments, general performances of localization system are satisfactory.



International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 10, October 2015

IX. LOCALIZATION BASED ON APPEARANCE BASED METHOD

The simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) problem asks if it is possible for a robotic vehicle to be placed at an unknown environment and for the vehicle to incrementally build a consistent map of this environment while simultaneously determining its location within this map. A solution to the SLAM problem has been one of the notable success to the robotics community. A two part tutorial of SLAM aims to provide a broad introduction to SLAM [15, 16]. The main steps in SLAM are:

- Define robot initial position as the root of the world coordinate space or start with some pre-existing features in the map with high uncertainty of the robot position.
- Prediction: When the robot moves, motion model provides new estimates of its new position and also the uncertainty of its location positional uncertainty always increases.
- Measurement: (a) Add new features to map. (b) Re-measure previously added features.
- Repeat steps 2 and 3 as appropriate.

In [18], a system for Monocular Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (Mono-SLAM) relying solely on video input. The method makes it possible to precisely estimate the camera trajectory without relying on any motion model. The estimation is completely incremental- at a given time frame, only the current location is estimated while the previous camera positions are never modified. In particular, simultaneous iterative optimization of the camera positions is not performed and they have estimated 3D structure (local bundle adjustment). The key aspect of the system is a fast and simple pose estimation algorithm that uses information not only from the estimated 3D map, but also from the epipolar constraint [7].

X. SUMMARY

Automated methods for self-position estimation of intelligent vehicles have progressed a lot. Each of the methods suffers from its own drawbacks. Combining many methods to give a hybrid approach to self-position estimation finds many applications. Among all the methods, image matching based methods are very accurate and efficient for self-position estimation. Some of the challenges in image based methods, for example, illumination changes among various images in the database can be handled using robust feature detectors and feature descriptors. This paper has surveyed various aspects of the advancements made so far in machine vision field for estimating self-position of robotic and intelligent vehicles.

X. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author is thankful to Director, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Longowal for providing computational facilities for carrying out this research. Author is also grateful to all those persons who helped in preparing this paper and for proof reading of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jesse Levinson, Michael Montemerlo, Sebastian Thrun, "Map-Based Precision Vehicle Localization in Urban Environments", Stanford Artificial Intelligence Laboratory in Proc. of Robotics: Science and Systems, Atlanta, GA, USA, June 2007.
- [2] Gehua Yang, "Estimating the location of a camera with respect to a 3D model", in Proc. of IEEE Sixth International Conference on 3-D Digital Imaging and Modeling (3DIM 2007) 0-7695-2939-4/07, 2007.
- [3] Jonathan Dixon, Oliver Henlich, Mobile Robot Navigation, Final Report, Imperial College, London information systems engineering year 2, 10 June 1997.
- [4] Henrik Andreasson, Andre Treptow, Tom Duckett, "Self-localization in non-stationary environments using omni-directional vision", in Proc. of Robotics and Autonomous Systems, March 2007, pp 541-551.
- [5] David G. Lowe, "Distinctive Image Features from Scale-Invariant Keypoints", International Journal of Computer Vision archive Volume 60, Issue 2, November 2004, pp 91 - 110.
- [6] Andea Ascani, Emanuele Frontoni, Adriano Mancini, Primo Zingaretti, "Robot localization using omnidirectional vision in large and dynamic outdoor environments", DOI: 10.1109/MESA.2008.4735695 pp 576-580, IEEE 2008.
- [7] E. Menegatti, G. Gatto, E. Pagello, Takashi Minato, Hiroshi Ishiguro, (2008) "Robot localisation enhanced by a distributed vision system in dynamic environments", Sensor Review, Vol. 28 Issue: 3, pp.222 – 228.
- [8] David C. K. Yuen and Bruce A Mac Donald, "Robot Localization Using Omnidirectional Color Images", Robot Vision, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, DOI:10.1007/3-540-44690-7_21, 2001, pp167-175.
- [9] Zoltan Vamossy, "Map Building and Localization of a Robot Using Omnidirectional Image Sequences", Acta Polytechnica Hungarica, vol.4, No.3, 2007, pp 103-112.



International Journal of Advanced Research in Electrical, Electronics and Instrumentation Engineering

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 4, Issue 10, October 2015

- [10] Cyril, Charron, Ouiddad Labbani-Igbida, El Mustapha Mouaddib, “Qualitative Localization using Omnidirectional images and invariant features”, IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2005.
- [11] Emanuele Frontoni, Andrea Ascani, Adriano Mancini, Primo Zingaretti, “Omnidirectional vision for robot localization in urban environments”, Proc. of int. conf. on Simulation, Modeling and Programming for Autonomous Robots, SIMPAR 2008. Venice (Italy) November 3-4, 2008. pp 343-353.
- [12] Nobuhiro Aihara, Hidehiko Iwasa, Naokazu Yokoya, Haaru Takemura, “Memory Based Self-Localization Using Omnidirectional Images”, in Proc. of Fourteenth International Conference on Pattern Recognition, Aug 1998 Volume: 2, pp 1799-1803 Brisbane, Qld., Australia ISBN: 0-8186-8512-3.
- [13] Nikos Vlassis, Yoichi Motomura, Isao Hara, Hideki Asoh, “ Edge-Based features from omnidirectional images for robot localization”, in Proc. ICRA'01, IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation, Seoul, Korea, May 2001, pp 1579-1584.
- [14] Guiherme N. Desouza, Avinash C Ka, “ Vision for mobile Robot Navigation: A Survey”, IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 24, No.2, February 2002, pp 237-267.
- [15] Hough Durrant- Whyte, Tim Bailey, “Simultaneous Localization and Mapping: Part I”, IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine June, 2006, pp 99-108.
- [16] Hough Durrant- Whyte, Tim Bailey, “Simultaneous Localization and Mapping: Part II”, IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine September, 2006, pp 108-117.
- [17] Parra, M. A. Sotelo, D. F. Llorca and M. Ocana, “Robust visual odometry for vehicle localization in urban environments”, Robotica Cambridge University Press, Cambridge University Press 2009 doi:10.1017/S026357470900575X.
- [18] Jean-Philippe Tardif Yanis Pavlidis Kostas Daniilidis, “Monocular Visual Odometry in Urban Environments Using an Omnidirectional Camera”, in Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems, Sept. 2008 pp 2531-2538 DOI:10.1109/IROS.2008.4651205.
- [19] Aihara, N.; Iwasa, H.; Yokoya, N.; Takemura, H.”, Memory-based self-localization using omnidirectional images,” in Pattern Recognition, 1998. Proceedings. Fourteenth International Conference on, vol.2, no., pp.1799-1803 vol.2, 16-20 Aug 1998.
- [20] A. C. Murillo, J. J. Guerrero and C. Sagues, “SURF features for efficient robot localization with omnidirectional images”, in Proc. of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, Roma , 2007, pp 3901 – 3907.
- [21] Christoffer Valgren, Achim Lilienthal, “SIFT, SURF and Seasons: Long-term Outdoor Localization Using Local Features”, in Proc. of European Conference on Mobile Robots (EMCR), At Freiburg, Germany, 2007.
- [22] Jurgen Wolf, Wolfram Burgard, Hans Burkhardt, “Robust Vision-based Localization by Combining an Image Retrieval System with Monte Carlo Localization” IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 21(2), 2005.
- [23] Guillaume Caron, Eric Marchand and El Mustapha Mouaddib, “3D Model Based Pose Estimation for Omnidirectional Stereovision”, in Proc. of IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, IROS 2009 at St. Louis, MO on 10-15 Oct. 2009, pp 228 - 5233, DOI: 10.1109/IROS.2009.5353955.
- [24] Robert Baczyk, Andrzej Kasinski, Piotr Skrzypczynski, “Vision-Based Mobile Robot localization with Simple Artificial Landmarks”, in Proc. of 7th IFAC Symp. on Robot Control, Wroclaw, 2003 pp 217-222.