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ABSTRACT: This paper shows the comparison between two traditional converters. Voltage source inverter consists of a dc or ac source with a large capacitor connected in parallel. It may be worked as boost inverter. Current source inverter consists of dc or ac source with a series inductor. It may be worked as buck inverter. Also PV panel is used. MPPT technique i.e. P & O technique is also used to track the maximum power point.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Photovoltaic (PV) power era is turning out to be all the more encouraging subsequent to the presentation of the flimsy film PV innovation because of its lower cost, phenomenal high temperature execution, low weight, adaptability, and sans glass simple establishment. Be that as it may, there are still two essential elements constraining the across the board utilization of PV force frameworks. The first is the expense of the sun oriented cell/module and the interface converter framework; the second is the variability of the yield of the PV cells. A PV cell's voltage fluctuates broadly with temperature and illumination, however the customary voltage source inverter(VSI) can't manage this wide range without over rating of the inverter, on the grounds that the VSI is a buck converter whose data dc voltage must be more prominent than the crest air conditioning yield voltage [2]-[3]. A transformer and a dc/dc converter is typically utilized as a part of PV applications, keeping in mind the end goal to adapt to the scope of the PV voltage, lessen inverter evaluations, and produce a wanted voltage for the heap or association with the utility.

Due to different use of the fossil fuel, the stores of petroleum astonishingly and immediately diminished and will be exhausted in two or three decades. To keep up a vital separation from the crisis of imperativeness utilization in a brief time allotment, regardless, experts and analysts have done a lot of inspects for the advancement of choice essentialness sources [3]. The renewable essentialness sources, for instance, light, wind, tides, geothermal sources and biomass sources are made from normal resources and are adequately sufficient to meet the necessities of man. These renewable essentialness sources are reliably and regularly given in a brief time allotment. Sunshine is utilized by photovoltaic structures to convey dc yield [2].

Changing over DC information sources to AC yield waveforms is basic in the greater part of electronic and electrical power applications. That is the essential limit of inverter circuit which is to change over DC information sources to AC yield waveforms [1]. Usually there are two sorts of inverters which are voltage source inverters and current source inverters.Both of these sorts of inverters are independent by their sort of DC information sources[1].

There exist two standard converters: voltage source (VSI) and current source (CSI). Fig.1 shows the traditional single-stage voltage-source converter (compressed as V source converter) structure. A dc voltage source supported by a for the most part far reaching capacitor energizes the essential converter circuit, to a lone stage circuit[3]. The dc voltage source can be a battery, power gadget stack, diode rectifier, and/or capacitor. Four switches are used as a part of the principal circuit; each is for the most part made out of a power transistor and an antiparallel (or freewheeling) diode to give bidirectional current stream and unidirectional voltage blocking capacity.
II. VOLTAGE SOURCE INVERTER (VSI)

VSI is a 3-Ø span inverter nourished from DC voltage source (or) AC voltage source with diode rectifier as appeared in fig 1. A vast capacitor is associated at the info terminals tends make the data DC voltage consistent. Six switches are utilized as a part of the fundamental circuit; each made out of force transistor furthermore, an antiparallel diode to give bidirectional current stream and unidirectional voltage blocking capacity. It has eight exchanging states. In those eight states, six are dynamic states and two are zero states. VSI can be worked as a ventured wave inverter or pulse width tweaked (PWM) inverter.

Fig 1: V-Source Inverter

Fig 2 Simulink block diagram of VSI

It has the accompanying reasonable and hypothetical hindrances:
1. The AC yield voltage is constrained beneath and can't surpass the DC info voltage.
2. External hardware is expected to support up the voltage, which builds the expense and brings down the general framework productivity.
3. There is a probability for the event of short through which obliterates the gadgets.
4. A yield LC channel is required, which causes extra misfortunes and control many-sided quality.
CSI is 3-Ø span inverter nourished from current source i.e a voltage source in arrangement with huge inductor as appeared in fig 2. Six switches are utilized; each made out of Insulate Gate Bipolar Transistor (IGBT) or Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor (MOSFET) with arrangement diode to give unidirectional current stream and bidirectional voltage blocking. Dissimilar to VSI, CSI has nine exchanging states in those six are dynamic states and three are zero states. The AC yield voltage is more prominent than DC data voltage.

Fig 4 Simulink block diagram of CSI
Be that as it may, the present source inverter has the accompanying reasonable also, hypothetical confinements:

1. It is a help inverter i.e, the yield AC current is more prominent than the data current it can't be utilized as buck inverter.
2. The expense of CSI is high.
3. The working force variable is poor on line side.
4. CSI is powerless against EMI noise regarding unwavering quality.
5. The dynamic reaction is moderate.

Parameters for CSI & VSI are:

Simulation was carried out for 0.1 sec with discrete power GUI (Ts =5e-0.005 s)

1. M= 0.6
2. Rs = 3ohms, Cs= inf, Ron= 1
3. Transfer function = \frac{1}{0.009s+1}
4. Transformer parameters

**IV. MPPT TECHNIQUES**

a) **Perturb and Observe**—The P&O calculations work by intermittently annoying (i.e. augmenting or decrementing ) the exhibit terminal voltage and contrasting the PV yield control and that of the past bother cycle. On the off chance that the PV cluster working voltage changes and power builds (dP/dVpv>0), the control framework moves the PV
exhibit working point in that course; generally the working point is moved the other way. In the following bother cycle the calculation proceeds similarly. A typical issue in P&O calculations is that the exhibit terminal voltage is irritated each MPPT cycle; in this manner when the MPP is achieved, the yield power wavers around the most extreme, diminishing the generable force by the PV system[3]. The wavering can be minimized by diminishing the bother step size. Be that as it may, littler bother size backs off the MPPT[2]. This is for the most part valid in consistent or gradually differing environmental conditions additionally under quickly changing air conditions as in fig 1 [5].

b) **Incremental conductance** –The hypothesis of the incremental conductance strategy is to decide the variety course of the terminal voltage for PV modules by measuring and looking at the incremental conductance and momentary conductance of PV modules. In the event that the estimation of incremental conductance is equivalent to that of quick conductance, it speaks to that the most extreme force point is found[1]. The incremental conductance (IncCond) strategy depends on the way that the incline of the PV cluster power bend (Fig. 2) is zero at the MPP, positive on the left of the MPP, and negative on the right[2].

In this Incremental conductance method is used. Simulink model for this are:

![Simulink model for subsystem IC algorithm](image)
(c) **Fuzzy Logic control** – Microcontrollers have made utilizing fuzzy rationale control mainstream for MPPT in the course of the last decade[2]. As said in [6], fuzzy rationale controllers have the upsides of working with uncertain inputs, not requiring an exact scientific model, and taking care of nonlinearity. Fluffy rationale control for the most part comprises of three stages: fuzzification, standard base table lookup, and defuzzification. Amid fuzzification, numerical information variables are changed over into semantic variables in view of a participation capacity like Fig. 2.

For this situation, five fluffy levels are utilized: NB (negative huge), NS(negative little), ZE (zero), PS (positive little), and PB (positive big). In Fig. 2, an and b depend on the scope of estimations of the numerical variable. The participation capacity is now and then made less symmetric to give more significance to particular fluffy levels. The inputs to a MPPT fluffy rationale controller are normally a blunder E and an adjustment in mistake ΔE. The client has the adaptability of picking how to register E and ΔE.
When $E$ and $\Delta E$ are computed and changed over to the semantic variables, the fluffy rationale controller yield, which is ordinarily an adjustment in obligation proportion $\Delta D$ of the force converter, can be gazed upward in a guideline base table, for example, Table I [7]. The etymological variables relegated to $\Delta D$ for the diverse blends of $E$ and $\Delta E$ depend on the force converter being utilized furthermore on the learning of the client. Table I depends on a help converter. On the off chance that, for instance, the working point is far to one side of the MPP (Fig. 2), that is $E$ is PB, and $\Delta E$ is ZE, then we need to a great extent build the obligation proportion, that is $\Delta D$ ought to be PB to come to the MPP. In the defuzzification organize, the fluffy rationale controller yield is changed over from a phonetic variable to numerical variable as yet utilizing an enrollment capacity as a part of Fig.2. This gives a simple flag that will control the force converter to the MPP. In [8], a versatile fluffy rationale control is recommended that always tunes the participation capacities and the tenet base table so that ideal execution is accomplished.

d) **Neural system** – Artificial neural systems are most appropriate for the guess of non-direct frameworks. Non-straight frameworks can be precisely copied by multilevel neural systems. They give best results than different calculations. Neural systems contain three layers to be specific data layer, concealed layer, yield layer[4].

![Fig 9-Example of neural system](image)

Bolster forward neural system is the straightforward sort of neural systems. In this write the data moves in just forward course, include hubs to shrouded hubs and to yield hubs. There are no cycles or circles in this system. The piece graph of the ANN for creating most extreme voltage and force for given $G$ and $T$ is appeared in Fig4.
The neural network used here has two input layers, hidden layers and two output layers and back propagation training method is used.

**Conclusion** – CSI is a high impedance & VSI is low impedance source. CSI is used where we need output voltage more than input voltage & VSI is used where we need output voltage less than input voltage.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Readings</th>
<th>VSI</th>
<th>CSI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase to phase rms voltage</td>
<td>22.7 V</td>
<td>34.4 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformer O/P</td>
<td>23.6 V</td>
<td>31.2 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter O/P</td>
<td>21.4 V</td>
<td>29.4 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC technique</td>
<td>250.45V</td>
<td>290.65V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Future Work** – CSI & VSI can only buck or boost the voltage. Z source inverter can be used instead of CSI & VSI. By changing switching devices, modulation index, values of capacitance & inductance in ZSI, output voltage can be changed. It can buck & boost the voltage with less losses. MPPT technique is used to track the maximum power point & to boost the voltage. From all of above techniques Perturb & Observe technique is simpler. It is also cheaper than IC technique. So it can be implemented.
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